



**Our world. Your move.**

**31<sup>st</sup> International Conference of the Red Cross Red Crescent**  
Geneva, 28 November–1 December – **For humanity**



**EN**

**31IC/11/7.1**  
**Original: English**  
**For information**

**31st INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE  
OF THE RED CROSS AND RED CRESCENT**

Geneva, Switzerland  
28 November – 1 December 2011

**Follow-up to the 30th International Conference  
(2007-2011)**


**Introduction**

**Document prepared jointly by**

**The International Committee of the Red Cross and the  
International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies**

Geneva, October 2011

## National Societies and States' responses to the Questionnaire on the follow-up to the 30th International Conference



©ICRC 2011 The boundaries and names shown and the designations used on this map do not imply official endorsement or acceptance by the ICRC

### Legend



## Governments

## National Societies

EHG11181-WD-THM-National Societies-A4LC-310811.mxd

(Only a few questionnaires were submitted jointly by National Societies and Government)

## **Follow-up to the 30th International Conference 2007-2011**

### **Introduction**

#### **1. Background information**

The 30th International Conference of the Red Cross and Red Crescent was held in Geneva in November 2007 and brought together representatives from 178 recognized National Societies, 166 States party to the Geneva Conventions, the International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC), the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies (International Federation), and 65 observers.

The members of the Conference adopted six resolutions. Resolution 1, paragraph 5, requested the ICRC and the International Federation to report to the 31st International Conference on the follow-up given by members to the resolutions and pledges adopted by the 30th Conference.

The ICRC and the International Federation have produced a report on the follow-up to the 30th International Conference, basing it on the information received from members before 31 July 2011 (although responses to the questionnaire were received and registered up to 30<sup>th</sup> September<sup>1</sup>). The report will be presented to the 31st International Conference in plenary.

#### **Resolutions adopted by the 30th International Conference**

The six resolutions adopted by the 30th International Conference highlighted crucial humanitarian issues and set out priorities and objectives to be met in addressing the most urgent challenges facing the world.

Resolution 1 and its Declaration “Together for humanity” recognized the need to intensify operational interaction and partnerships among Conference members, and with other institutions as well as with the media and the private sector, in order to address the humanitarian consequences of the following four great challenges:

- environmental degradation and climate change;
- humanitarian concerns generated by international migration;
- violence, in particular in urban settings;
- emergent and recurrent diseases and other public-health challenges, such as access to health care.

The five other resolutions adopted were:

Resolution 2: “Specific nature of the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement in action and partnerships and the role of National Societies as auxiliaries to the public authorities in the humanitarian field”;

Resolution 3: “Reaffirmation and implementation of international humanitarian law”;

---

<sup>1</sup> Unfortunately, it was not possible to include the information received after 31<sup>st</sup> of July in all of the reports.

Resolution 4: "Adoption of the Guidelines for the Domestic Facilitation and Regulation of International Disaster Relief and Initial Recovery Assistance";

Resolution 5: "Follow-up to the implementation of the Memorandum of Understanding of 28 November 2005 between the Palestine Red Crescent Society and the Magen David Adom in Israel"; and

Resolution 6: "Date and Place of an International Conference of the Red Cross and Red Crescent in 2011."

Conference members were not asked to provide information in the questionnaire on Resolutions 5 or 6, owing to their specific scope. Progress towards the implementation of Resolution 5 will be presented separately.

## Pledges

To support and to supplement the resolutions adopted by the 30th International Conference, a number of members, acting independently or jointly, made voluntary humanitarian commitments for the period 2007-2011 in the form of pledges. These pledges further develop the themes of the four resolutions and reflect active recognition of and a particular commitment to specific Conference issues on the part of governments or National Societies. The information given in the questionnaire regarding progress made on pledges has been incorporated wherever possible in the relevant chapter of the follow-up report.

In all, 73 governments, 120 National Societies and their International Federation, the ICRC and three observers submitted a total of 314 individual and collective pledges for the period 2007-2011<sup>2</sup>. To date, 36 National Societies and 23 governments have reported on these pledges<sup>3</sup>. This lack of follow-up to the pledges constituted a challenge for the reporting process; it was difficult to draw a representative, global picture on the basis of so little information.

## 2. Reporting and response to the questionnaire

States and the components of the Movement (the ICRC, the International Federation and National Societies) were asked to report on the actions they had taken over the past four years to implement each resolution of the 30th International Conference by responding to a follow-up questionnaire<sup>4</sup> sent out during the first quarter of 2011. The questionnaire requested members to report on any significant actions they had taken to implement the resolutions. By "significant actions," it was understood "systematic and structured activities in the form of a project or similar"<sup>5</sup>.

The structure of the follow-up questionnaire was directly inspired by the structure of the resolutions adopted during the 30th International Conference. The ICRC and the International Federation have based the present follow-up report mainly on the actions described in the questionnaires received from Conference members. As not all States and National Societies reported on their efforts to implement the resolutions adopted by the 30th International Conference, and as the information provided was not necessarily complete, this follow-up report cannot therefore reflect the whole range of activities undertaken during the reporting period 2007-2011.

<sup>2</sup> Please refer to the document *Overview of the Pledges* available on: <http://www.ifrc.org/Global/Governance/Meetings/International-Conference/2007/overview-pledges-en.pdf>

<sup>3</sup> Please refer to Annex 4.

<sup>4</sup> Please refer to Annex 1 for a copy of the questionnaire is attached as Annex 1.

<sup>5</sup> Annex 3 provides a breakdown of responses received from National Societies and States regarding activities in each area.

In order to supplement the information provided by States and National Societies, the ICRC and the International Federation also used internal reports and contributions from various relevant technical departments as well as input from field offices.

By the 30<sup>th</sup> of September 2011, 114 replies to the follow-up questionnaire had been received, including 39 responses from States party to the Geneva Convention and 75 from National Societies.<sup>6</sup> It is encouraging to note that some States and National Societies, demonstrating a spirit of constructive cooperation, submitted joint reports. A similar process was followed in advance of the 28<sup>th</sup> and 30<sup>th</sup> Conferences, where 85 and 104<sup>7</sup> questionnaires were received respectively. The table below shows the geographic distribution of the replies received (disaggregated by IFRC zone):<sup>8</sup>

|                                   | Africa | Americas | Asia-Pacific | Europe | Middle East<br>North Africa | Total |
|-----------------------------------|--------|----------|--------------|--------|-----------------------------|-------|
| NS replies                        | 7      | 10       | 21           | 32     | 5                           | 75    |
| State replies                     | 6      | 5        | 4            | 21     | 3                           | 39    |
| Total replies                     | 13     | 15       | 25           | 53     | 8                           | 114   |
| Countries per zone                | 47     | 35       | 34           | 52     | 18                          | 186   |
| % NSs in zone having responded    | 15%    | 29%      | 62%          | 62%    | 28%                         | 40%   |
| % States in zone having responded | 13%    | 14%      | 12%          | 40%    | 17%                         | 20%   |
| % Combined response               | 14%    | 21%      | 37%          | 50%    | 22%                         | 30%   |

In total, 30 % of all National Societies and States responded to the questionnaire. The figure was substantially higher in some zones (Europe at 50%) than in others (Africa at 14%).

### 3. Structure of the follow-up report

The follow-up report is divided into four parts, one pertaining to each of the resolutions. The first part concerns the implementation of the Declaration “Together for humanity” and is divided into four chapters, each relating to one of the challenges:

environmental degradation and climate change (*produced by the International Federation*);

humanitarian concerns generated by international migration (*produced jointly by the International Federation and the ICRC*);

violence, in particular in urban settings (*produced jointly by the International Federation and the ICRC*);

<sup>6</sup> Please refer to Annex 2 for a list of National Societies and States having replied before 30 September 2011.

<sup>7</sup> This number includes: 65 from National Societies, 37 from States and one each from the ICRC and IFRC. the International Federation.

<sup>8</sup> The Secretariat of the International Federation is divided into five geographic zones for administrative purposes. A list of the National Societies in each zone can be found on: <http://www.ifrc.org/en/what-we-do/where-we-work/>.

emergent and recurrent diseases and other public-health challenges, such as access to health care (*produced by the International Federation*).

The other two parts of the follow-up report concern Resolutions 2 and 3 on the issues mentioned below respectively:

Specific nature of the International Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement in action and partnerships and the role of National Societies as auxiliaries to the public authorities in the humanitarian field (*produced by the International Federation*);

Reaffirmation and implementation of international humanitarian law (*produced by the ICRC*);

Progress on the implementation of Resolution 4: Adoption of the Guidelines for the Domestic Facilitation and Regulation of International Disaster Relief and Initial Recovery Assistance is reported in Conference document 31IC/11/5.5.1, Progress in the Implementation of the Guidelines for the Domestic Facilitation and Regulation of International Disaster Relief and Initial Recovery Assistance.

The present follow-up report cannot be exhaustive, nor can it reflect all the work undertaken during the reporting period. It was often difficult to draw general conclusions, make observations or identify trends because of the limited and sometimes abstract nature of the responses to the questionnaire. However, the main measures, activities and endeavours described in this report constitute a valuable source of information and should encourage and inspire all Conference members and other participants to step up their efforts, review their own practices and take further steps as required.

Other reports being presented to the 31st International Conference are also related to the implementation of resolutions of the 30th Conference:

Strengthening Legal Protection for Victims of Armed Conflicts;

Strengthening Normative Frameworks and Addressing Regulatory Barriers Concerning Disaster Mitigation, Response and Recovery

Culture of Non-Violence and Peace – International Migration

Enhanced Partnership – Stronger National Societies and Volunteering

The reappearance of different themes on the agenda of the 31st International Conference is a testament both to their continuing importance and to the need for long-term solutions to intractable and interdependent phenomena. It is hoped that this report and the process of self-reflection undertaken by the Conference members who gave feedback will be seen as an opportunity to renew the commitments made in the Declaration and build upon the successes of the past four years while learning from the failures.

Annex 1:



## Questionnaire on the follow-up to the 30th International Conference of the Red Cross and Red Crescent

---

Resolution 1 of the 30th International Conference of the Red Cross and Red Crescent requests all Conference members to keep the ICRC and the International Federation informed of actions taken and progress made in the implementation of the:

*Declaration "Together for Humanity"*  
*resolutions of the Conference and*  
*pledges taken collectively and individually.*

This questionnaire is intended to facilitate the reporting by the National Societies and state authorities on steps taken in implementing resolutions and pledges since the 30<sup>th</sup> International Conference in 2007 to date

The questionnaire should be returned to the ICRC and the International Federation at the latest by 31 May 2011.

The full texts of the Declaration and all Resolutions as well as an electronic form of this questionnaire can be found on the websites of the ICRC ([www.icrc.org](http://www.icrc.org))<sup>9</sup>, the International Federation ([www.ifrc.org](http://www.ifrc.org))<sup>10</sup> and the Standing Commission ([www.standcom.ch](http://www.standcom.ch)).

The formal report, based on feedback received, to the 31st International Conference will be compiled by the ICRC and the International Federation.

### **Directions for use:**

Please

- indicate the name, function and person responsible for its content,
- **use English, French, Spanish or Arabic**
- mail a hard copy **before 31 May 2011 to the ICRC/IFRC**; an additional **electronic copy is greatly appreciated**.

Our services in Geneva (see contact references hereafter) are at your disposal for answering possible inquiries.

### **This questionnaire covers measures taken by:**

State authority

National Society

Country: \_\_\_\_\_

<sup>9</sup> [http://www.icrc.org/applic/p130e.nsf/va\\_navPage/POAI?openDocument&count=-1](http://www.icrc.org/applic/p130e.nsf/va_navPage/POAI?openDocument&count=-1)

<sup>10</sup> <http://www.ifrc.org/meetings/statutory/intconf/30th/index.asp>

**Responsible Authority:**

Name:

Title / Function:

**Contact person:**

(Person who can be contacted by the organizers of the Conference for any inquiry on the questionnaire)

Name:

Title / Function:

Address:

Telephone/fax:

Email:

**Please return the questionnaires to the following address:****Organizers of the International Conference of the Red Cross and Red Crescent**

International Committee of the Red Cross  
Division for the Movement Cooperation and Coordination  
19, avenue de la Paix CH-1202 Geneva, Switzerland  
E-mail: [gva\\_dc\\_mouv\\_meet@icrc.org](mailto:gva_dc_mouv_meet@icrc.org)  
Fax +41 (0)22 733 20 57  
Tel. +41 (0)22 730 21 10

**OR**

International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies  
Cooperation and Governance Support Department  
P. O. 372, CH-1211 Geneva 19, Switzerland  
E-mail: [international.conference@ifrc.org](mailto:international.conference@ifrc.org)  
Fax +41(0) 22 733 0395  
Tel. +41 (0)22 730 4355



## **Resolution Follow-up**

Please indicate by ticking the appropriate box whether there has been any **significant** follow up of the below listed Resolutions adopted at the 30<sup>th</sup> International Conference in 2007.

By "significant" we mean systematic and structured activities, in the form of a project or similar, specifically carried out to address the resolutions.

|  | YES | NO |
|--|-----|----|
| <b>1. Declaration "Together for Humanity"</b>  |     |    |
| 1.1 Climate Change   |     |    |
| 1.2 Migration  |     |    |
| 1.3 Violence, Urban Settings   |     |    |
| 1.4 Public Health  |     |    |
| 1.5 Implementation of Restoring Family Links Strategy  |     |    |
| 1.6 Implementation of Global Health and Care Strategy  |     |    |
|  |     |    |
| <b>2. Resolution 2, "The Specific Nature of the Red Cross and Red Crescent Movement in Action and Partnerships and the Role of National Societies as Auxiliaries to the Public Authorities in the Humanitarian Field."</b> |     |    |
|  |     |    |
| <b>3. "Reaffirmation and Implementation of International Humanitarian Law"</b>   |     |    |
|  |     |    |
| <b>4. "Adoption of the Guidelines for the Domestic Facilitation and Regulation of International Disaster Relief and Initial Recovery Assistance"</b>   |     |    |

**If you tick yes** please explain in more detail in the following pages the projects and activities carried out to implement the adopted resolutions. If you have project /executive reports that you can share, please forward these.

By ticking the NO -box, we assume that no significant follow up has been done.

## PLEDGES (2007-2011)

For each pledge made, use a separate form.  
For collective pledges, please indicate whether the report is a collective report on behalf of all the sponsors or only the signatory

**Describe in a few words actions taken to implement your pledge(s):**

Please refer to the online data base on

([http://www.icrc.org/applic/p130e.nsf/va\\_navPage/POAI?openDocument&count=-1](http://www.icrc.org/applic/p130e.nsf/va_navPage/POAI?openDocument&count=-1))

indicate the reference of the pledge you signed up to and are reporting on:

.....

.....

.....

If a collective pledge, are you reporting

on behalf of all the sponsors?

☐ on your own behalf?

Please briefly describe the implementation

[illegible]

## DECLARATION

### TOGETHER FOR HUMANITY

Please refer to the text of the Declaration and **recommended action**

**Describe briefly work undertaken, with particular focus on *partnership and cooperation* between Governments and RC National Society, addressing the 4 areas of *humanitarian challenges* covered in the Declaration of the 2007 Conference:**

We encourage you to share lessons learned and recommendations from your experience as well as inform us on who we can contact in your institution for more information should this be required.

#### **1. Humanitarian consequences of environmental degradation and climate change**

You may choose to comment on activities and work in *e.g.*  
 awareness-raising with partners on the humanitarian concerns and causes;  
 community involvement to decrease vulnerability;  
 improving and adopting preparedness and response capacity ( disaster management policies, plans etc.)

#### **2. Humanitarian concerns generated by international migration**

You may choose to comment on activities and work in *e.g.*  
 providing assistance to migrants (food, shelter, clothing, first aid, etc.);  
 protection activities (RFL, legal and administrative advice, acting against exploitation and deception, detention visits etc.);  
 advocacy – bringing a humanitarian perspective to policy decisions;  
 Integration and reintegration  
 work to combat discrimination and intolerance –human dignity

#### **3. Violence, in particular in urban settings**

You may choose to comment on activities and work in *e.g.*  
 plans and policies for violence prevention and reduction,  
 support to victims involving and empowering volunteers,  
 reducing vulnerability to drugs and crime  
 inclusion of minorities and vulnerable groups.

#### **4. Emergent and recurrent diseases and other public health challenges**

You may choose to comment on activities and work in *e.g.*  
 the role of your NS in health care,  
 ensuring access of all vulnerable groups to health services;  
 inclusion of essential health services in disaster management plans;  
 steps taken to prevent the spread of health threats in prisons;

**RESOLUTION 1**

**TOGETHER FOR HUMANITY**

Please refer to the full text of resolution 1.

Please report here any significant action taken to implement the resolution

**Describe in a few words your actions taken in some or all of the following areas since November 2007:**

We encourage you to share lessons learned and recommendations from your experience as well as inform us on who we can contact in your institution for more information should this be required.

## 1. Implementation of the Restoring Family Links Strategy (RFL)

Improve RFL capacity and performance (service response and capacity to respond);

Enhance coordination and Intra-Movement cooperation (rapid response, coordination and cooperation);

Strengthen support for restoring family links (ownership, profile and positioning);

[illegible]

## 2. Implementation of the Global Health and Care Strategy 2006-2010

Develop national health and care strategy and implementation plans using the global Health and Care Strategy

Increase capacity to deliver health programmes based on the 6 strategic directions: capacity building, social mobilisation, partnership, health in emergencies, advocacy and empowerment

Demonstrate impact to improved health and care support vulnerable communities

[illegible]

## RESOLUTION 2

**THE SPECIFIC NATURE OF THE RED CROSS AND RED CRESCENT  
MOVEMENT IN ACTION AND PARTNERSHIPS AND  
THE ROLE OF NATIONAL SOCIETIES AS AUXILIARIES  
TO THE PUBLIC AUTHORITIES IN THE HUMANITARIAN FIELD**

Please refer to the full text of resolution 2.

Report here any significant action taken to implement the resolution

**Describe in a few words your actions taken in some or all of the following areas since November 2007:**

We encourage you to share lessons learned and recommendations from your experience as well as inform us on who we can contact in your institution for more information should this be required.

Examples of suggested measures to implement resolution 2:

*National Societies* ... "to consolidate a balanced relationship with clear and reciprocal responsibilities";

to maintain and enhance "a permanent dialogue at all levels within the agreed framework for humanitarian action";

promote respect of "the Fundamental Principles, in particular those of neutrality and independence, and (...) other obligations under the Statutes of the Movement";

ensure that the National Society is "clearly distinguishable from military and other governmental bodies"

"to clarify and consolidate the areas in which National Societies as auxiliaries cooperate at all levels with the public authorities";

“to make available and further develop relevant information material”

"National societies ...have a duty to consider authorities to carry out humanitarian activities within their mandate"

States must refrain from requesting National Societies to perform activities which are in conflict with the Fundamental Principles or the Statutes of the Movement or its missions..."

[illegible]

## RESOLUTION 3

## REAFFIRMATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF INTERNATIONAL HUMANITARIAN LAW

## "Preserving Human Life and Dignity in Armed Conflict"

Please refer to the full text of resolution 3.

Report here any significant action taken to implement the resolution

**Describe in a few words your actions taken in some or all of the following areas since November 2007:**

We encourage you to share lessons learned and recommendations from your experience as well as inform us on who we can contact in your institution for more information should this be required.

## 1) Achieving Effective Implementation

### Examples of suggested measures to implement resolution 3

### a. National Implementation

accede to international humanitarian law treaties to which they are not yet a party  
"adopt all the legislative, regulatory and practical measures that are necessary to  
incorporate international humanitarian law into domestic law and practice" regarding, inter  
alia :

- the use and protection of the distinctive emblems,
- the repression of serious violations of international humanitarian law
- the protection of cultural property in the event of armed conflict,
- the regulation of means and methods of warfare
- the protection of the rights of missing persons and their families

establish and/or actively participate in the work of national committees and other bodies involved in advising and assisting national authorities in implementing, developing and spreading knowledge of IHL

[illegible]

## **b. Doctrine, Training and Education**

translate the law "into measures and mechanisms, at the level both of doctrine and of procedures";

ensure that "armed forces personnel at all levels be properly trained in the application of international humanitarian law";

ensure "that commanders receive training commensurate with their responsibility"

ensure "the availability within the armed forces of legal advisers to advise commanders, at the appropriate level, on the application of international humanitarian law";

ensure "that the civilian population be educated about international humanitarian law";

"adopt educational programmes for young people, such as the Exploring Humanitarian Law education modules";

"increase efforts to spread knowledge of international humanitarian law in all sectors of society";

### c. Ending Impunity

*(Recommendations for action addressed to States and national authorities)*

"create a domestic legal framework for the investigation of crimes under international law, in particular war crimes, and for the prosecution or extradition of persons suspected of having committed such crimes";

promote "accountability for the commission of crimes under international law

- by making domestic criminal justice more effective through, *inter alia*, assistance to States in developing the capacities of their domestic courts,
- by improving international judicial cooperation among States, as well as between States and international and "mixed" criminal courts and tribunals,
- by considering becoming party to the Statute of the International Criminal Court and
- by providing for jurisdiction over such crimes consistent with international law";

"use "fact-finding mechanisms, such as the International Fact-Finding Commission established under Article 90 of Additional Protocol I, in restoring respect for international humanitarian law";

.....

## RESOLUTION 4

## ADOPTION OF THE GUIDELINES FOR THE DOMESTIC FACILITATION AND REGULATION OF INTERNATIONAL DISASTER RELIEF AND INITIAL RECOVERY ASSISTANCE

Please refer to the full text of resolution 4.

Report here any significant action taken to implement the resolution

**N.B.** Conference participants who participated in the IFRC's questionnaire on the implementation of Resolution 4 in 2009 may refer to their responses in this exercise. However, they are also invited to provide updated information on more recent activities.

We encourage you to share lessons learned and recommendations from your experience as well as inform us on who we can contact in your institution for more information should this be required.

**Describe in a few words your actions taken to implement this resolution?**

### Examples of measures to be taken to implement resolution 4:

States:

"to make use of the Guidelines for the Domestic Facilitation and Regulation of International Disaster Relief and Initial Recovery Assistance to strengthen national legal, policy and institutional frameworks as well as when developing, if appropriate, bilateral and regional disaster-assistance agreements";

## States, National Societies and the IFRC:

“to bring these Guidelines to the attention of international and regional inter-governmental and non-governmental organizations concerned with disaster relief and recovery assistance.”;

## National Societies and the IFRC:

"to disseminate and support the use of the Guidelines in strengthening national legal, policy and institutional frameworks for disaster response";

“to promote the mainstreaming of the Guidelines in all relevant existing legal-development, disaster management and risk reduction initiatives”; and

"to continue research and advocacy efforts, and the development of tools and models for the improvement of legal preparedness for disasters";

[illegible]



Annex 2: List of National Societies and States having replied to the questionnaire by 30 September 2011

| National Societies  |                   | States         |
|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Afghanistan         | Monaco            | Australia      |
| Armenia             | Mongolia          | Azerbaijan     |
| Australia           | Montenegro        | Belgium        |
| Azerbaijan          | Morocco           | Bulgaria       |
| Belgium             | Myanmar           | Canada         |
| Bolivia             | Namibia           | Chad           |
| Bosnia- Herzegovina | Nepal             | Colombia       |
| Brunei Darussalam   | Netherlands       | Cyprus         |
| Bulgaria            | New Zealand       | Czech Republic |
| Cambodia            | Norway            | Denmark        |
| Canada              | Palestine         | Egypt          |
| Chad                | Palau             | Finland        |
| China               | Peru              | France         |
| Colombia            | Portugal          | Germany        |
| Cook Islands        | Qatar             | Greece         |
| Croatia             | Samoa             | Guatemala      |
| Czech Republic      | Serbia            | Ireland        |
| Denmark             | Sierra Leone      | Italy          |
| Ecuador             | Solomon Islands   | Japan          |
| Egypt               | Spain             | Kyrgyzstan     |
| El Salvador         | Sweden            | Lesotho        |
| Estonia             | Switzerland       | Madagascar     |
| Fiji                | Thailand          | Malawi         |
| France              | Trinidad & Tobago | Mauritius      |
| Georgia             | Tonga             | Mexico         |
| Germany             | Turkey            | Morocco        |
| Greece              | Turkmenistan      | Netherlands    |
| Guinea              | Tuvalu            | New Zealand    |
| Honduras            | Ukraine           | Norway         |
| Iraq                | United Kingdom    | Peru           |
| Italy               | United States     | Poland         |
| Ireland             | Uzbekistan        | Portugal       |
| Japan               | Vanuatu           | Qatar          |
| Kiribati            |                   | Romania        |
| Kyrgyzstan          |                   | South Africa   |
| Latvia              |                   | Switzerland    |
| Lesotho             |                   | Thailand       |
| Liberia             |                   | Ukraine        |
| Lithuania           |                   | United Kingdom |
| Mauritius           |                   |                |
| Mexico              |                   |                |
| Micronesia          |                   |                |

## Annex 3: Breakdown of responses received to the questionnaire by 31 August 2011

The table below provides a snapshot of how Conference members evaluated their follow-up to various pledges in their replies to the questionnaire. The figures do not include input from the ICRC or the International Federation.

| National Societies |                |           |                          |               |                                 |                               |                |     |      |
|--------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----|------|
|                    | Climate Change | Migration | Violence, Urban Settings | Public Health | Restoring Family Links Strategy | Global Health & Care Strategy | Auxiliary Role | IHL | IDRL |
| Not Answered       | 3              | 7         | 7                        | 4             | 3                               | 4                             | 5              | 5   | 4    |
| Yes                | 57             | 50        | 44                       | 65            | 67                              | 60                            | 64             | 61  | 59   |
| No                 | 15             | 18        | 24                       | 6             | 5                               | 11                            | 6              | 9   | 12   |
| Total              | 75             | 75        | 75                       | 75            | 75                              | 75                            | 75             | 75  | 75   |
| total-N.A          | 72             | 68        | 68                       | 71            | 72                              | 71                            | 70             | 70  | 71   |
| total-N.A as %     | 96%            | 91%       | 91%                      | 95%           | 96%                             | 95%                           | 93%            | 93% | 95%  |
| Yes as %           | 79%            | 74%       | 65%                      | 92%           | 93%                             | 85%                           | 91%            | 87% | 83%  |
| No as %            | 21%            | 26%       | 35%                      | 8%            | 7%                              | 15%                           | 9%             | 13% | 17%  |

| States         |                |           |                          |               |                                 |                               |                |      |      |
|----------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|------|------|
|                | Climate Change | Migration | Violence, Urban Settings | Public Health | Restoring Family Links Strategy | Global Health & Care Strategy | Auxiliary Role | IHL  | IDRL |
| Not Answered   | 9              | 8         | 8                        | 11            | 11                              | 14                            | 10             | 7    | 8    |
| Yes            | 23             | 26        | 25                       | 23            | 21                              | 18                            | 25             | 31   | 26   |
| No             | 6              | 4         | 5                        | 4             | 6                               | 6                             | 3              | 0    | 4    |
| Total          | 38             | 38        | 38                       | 38            | 38                              | 38                            | 38             | 38   | 38   |
| total-N.A      | 29             | 30        | 30                       | 27            | 27                              | 24                            | 28             | 31   | 30   |
| total-N.A as % | 76%            | 79%       | 79%                      | 71%           | 71%                             | 63%                           | 74%            | 82%  | 79%  |
| Yes as %       | 79%            | 87%       | 83%                      | 85%           | 78%                             | 75%                           | 89%            | 100% | 87%  |
| No as %        | 21%            | 13%       | 17%                      | 15%           | 22%                             | 25%                           | 11%            | 0%   | 13%  |

| National Societies and States |                |           |                          |               |                                 |                               |                |     |      |
|-------------------------------|----------------|-----------|--------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|-----|------|
|                               | Climate Change | Migration | Violence, Urban Settings | Public Health | Restoring Family Links Strategy | Global Health & Care Strategy | Auxiliary Role | IHL | IDRL |
| Not Answered                  | 12             | 15        | 15                       | 15            | 14                              | 18                            | 15             | 12  | 12   |
| Yes                           | 80             | 76        | 69                       | 88            | 88                              | 78                            | 89             | 92  | 85   |
| No                            | 21             | 22        | 29                       | 10            | 11                              | 17                            | 9              | 9   | 16   |
| Total-N.A.                    | 101            | 98        | 98                       | 98            | 99                              | 95                            | 98             | 101 | 101  |
| Yes as %                      | 79%            | 78%       | 70%                      | 90%           | 89%                             | 82%                           | 91%            | 91% | 84%  |
| No as %                       | 21%            | 22%       | 30%                      | 10%           | 11%                             | 18%                           | 9%             | 9%  | 16%  |

The number of States that completed the questionnaire was small and consequently any conclusions to be drawn are less representative than those regarding National Societies.

## Annex 4: Reporting by National Societies and States on pledges

The table below shows the National Societies and States that reported on their pledges, by topic. Note that several of the pledges cover more than one topic and are therefore listed more than once. The National Society or State reporting on one of these cross-cutting pledges is included under the topic of the pledge on which it reported.

| Topic                                  | Pledge Numbers   | National Societies  | States  |
|--|--|---|---|
| International Humanitarian Law         | 006, 009, 010, 011, 020, 024, 035, 037, 039, 047, 048, 051, 080, 088, 089, 091, 092, 109, 110, 115, 116, 121, 122, 127, 128, 137, 141, 182, 186, 212, 221, 222, 224, 232, 235, 239, 245, 247, 254, 255, 266, 274, 282, 294, 297, 299, 300, 315, 316, 317, 318, 341, 345, 346 | Armenia, Australia, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Denmark, France, Guinea, Greece, Honduras, Norway, Serbia, Sierra Leone, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, UK, USA                            | Australia, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Cyprus, Czech Rep., Denmark, EU States (joint report), France, Finland, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Mexico, Netherlands, New Zealand, Peru, Poland, Portugal, Romania, South Africa, Switzerland, Thailand, UK |
| International Disaster Response Law    | 56, 059, 095, 073, 171, 280  | Bulgaria, Denmark, France, Germany, Greece, Italy, Ireland, Latvia, Lithuania, New Zealand, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Turkey, Ukraine                                      | Bulgaria, Colombia, Cyprus, EU States (joint report), France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Netherlands, Norway, Peru, Poland, Portugal, UK   |
| Auxiliary Role & Cooperation           | 013, 079, 084, 096, 111, 125, 134, 155, 226, 229, 288, 305, 319  | Armenia, Australia, Belgium, Canada, Czech Rep., Greece, Lesotho, Mexico, Namibia, Netherlands, Norway, Serbia, Sierra Leone,   | Australia, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Cyprus, EU States (joint report), France, Ireland, Italy, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Switzerland, UK   |
| Climate Change                         | 102, 233, 249, 256, 273  | Australia, Canada, China, Honduras, Mexico, Netherlands,  | Australia, New Zealand, Thailand  |
| Migration                              | 083, 103, 112, 117, 120, 124, 142, 147, 264  | Belgium, Bulgaria, Germany, Greece, Spain   | Belgium, Cyprus, Finland, Switzerland   |
| Violence                               | 149, 249, 289  | Canada, Columbia, Spain   | Canada  |
| Health                                 | 025, 201, 246, 263, 264, 273, 295, 322   | Belgium, Canada, France, Greece, Honduras, Netherlands,   | Australia, France, Netherlands  |
| Disaster Risk Reduction and Prevention | 021, 059, 260, 261, 262, 292, 273  | Belgium, Canada, Honduras, Liberia, Netherlands, New Zealand  | Australia, Canada, Mexico,  |
| Cluster Munitions & Landmines          | 068, 094, 159, 258, 265, 293   | Canada, Spain   | Australia, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Cyprus, EU States (joint report), Germany, Guatemala, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Mexico, Poland, Portugal, Thailand, UK   |
| Disaster Management                    | 054, 095, 171, 250, 399  | Colombia, Greece, Japan, USA  | Belgium, Bulgaria, Poland,  |
| Youth and Volunteers                   | 129, 172, 180  | Armenia, Australia, Bolivia, Bulgaria, China, France, Honduras, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Liberia, Monaco, Namibia, New Zealand, Palestine, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, UK |   |

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| Human Resources & Code of Conduct                   | 101, 257, 296                          | Australia, Canada, Ireland, Namibia, Spain, Switzerland   | Australia,   |
| Restoring Family Links                              | 050, 064, 154, 155, 178, 240, 301, 306 | Australia, Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, China, France, Germany, Greece, Honduras, Ireland, Italy, Japan, Latvia, Lithuania, Netherlands, New Zealand, Norway, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, UK, Ukraine | Australia, Canada, Germany, Guatemala, Japan, Mauritius, Netherlands, Thailand, UK |
| Emblem  | 046, 146, 243, 340, 342                | Australia, Spain  | Australia, Germany, Guatemala, Japan, Poland, UK                                   |
| Non-Discrimination & Inclusion                      | 029, 049, 061, 314                     | Australia, Belgium, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Netherlands, Norway, Portugal, Spain, Sweden, UK  | Mexico, UK   |
| International Criminal Court & Criminal Law matters | 042, 119, 291, 347                     | Canada  | Belgium, Canada, Guatemala, Peru, Poland, UK                                       |
| Multiple Topic Pledges                              | 138, 273                               | China, Cook Islands, Fiji, Kiribati, Micronesia, Palau, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Vanatu   |  |
| Fundamental Principles                              | 091, 199, 321                          | Denmark, Norway, Sweden   | EU States (joint report), Finland, Norway, Switzerland                             |
| Funding and reporting & Reporting                   | 320, 343, 344                          |   | Poland, Switzerland  |