

2nd Red Cross
International Humanitarian Law (IHL) Moot (2008)
(An Inter-University Competition for Mainland China)

CLARIFICATIONS

The following clarification requests are raised by different delegations. For anonymous reason, the questions are renumbered.

Question 1 Are there any uniforms or emblems for SNA members?

Clarification: *You have been given sufficient facts to argue the case.*

Question 2 Whether the statement in the Moot Problem that 'crimes related to the environment for the incidents of 13 to 15 March' (Line 2 of Para. 17) prevents us from applying articles other than those related to the environment in the Rome Statute to the incidents of 13 to 15 March"?

Clarification: *You can base your arguments on the facts indicated in the Moot Problem.*

Question 3 With regard to paragraph 9 of the Moot Problem on the location of the shrine, would you please provide us the map of the area of the shrine?

Clarification: *You have been given sufficient facts to argue the case.*

Question 4 Is there any relation between those Delphon Youth who threatened to attack Rumons in the leaflets and posters from 7-8 January 2007 and those enlisted youth who received military training in paragraph 2?

Clarification: *You have been given sufficient facts to argue the case.*

Question 5 Were the SNA Youth and the Delpon youths with weapons who committed the crimes on the night of 8 January 2007 de facto members of SNA?

Clarification: *You have been given sufficient facts to argue the case.*

Question 6 In para.4, it says that "the leaflets and posters were issued in the name of Suvidesh Nationalist Youth, which claim to be the youth wing of the SNA", while in para.6, it says "Delphon youth" committed killing and attack. So, Does "Delphon youth" and "youth wing" have the same meaning?

Clarification: *You have been given sufficient facts to argue the case.*

Question 7 It is difficult for us to draw a precise map described in para.9 of the problem. So, Can you provide a map described in the para 9 of the problem?

Clarification: *You have been given sufficient facts to argue the case.*

Question 8 A map of the terrain around shrine Gopada which is mentioned in paragraph 9 because the description is a little bit confusing and this problem is important to decide whether the shrine was or has been used as a military objective and whether it is necessary to start firing from the front side of the shrine thus the attack by Major General Marshall can be justified to some extent.

Clarification: *You have been given sufficient facts to argue the case.*

Question 9 The word "there", which appears in the sentence "Major General Marshall replied that there was an unprovoked firing from Suburban forces..." in paragraph 12, means "oil fields" or just indicate a situation of having or existing?

Clarification: *A situation of having or existing.*

[END]