



ICRC



中國政法大學
CHINA UNIVERSITY OF POLITICAL SCIENCE AND LAW

The 10th Red Cross International Humanitarian Law (IHL) Moot (2016)

An Inter-University Competition for Mainland China

The Rules

General Matters

1. The 10th Red Cross International Humanitarian Law Moot Court Competition (2016) (“the Competition”) shall be run under the auspices of the International Committee of the Red Cross (“ICRC”) and the School of International Law of China University of Political Science and Law (“the Organizers”).
2. The Organizers shall have the power to appoint judges and amend and apply these rules as they think fit.
3. The date for the competition shall be **18–20 November 2016** and the venue of the competition shall be at the campus of the China University of Political Science and Law.

Delegations and Teams

Composition

4. The Competition shall be open to not more than one delegation from each participating institution.
5. Each delegation shall send one team of two students and one coach.
6. Each delegation will represent the Prosecutor and the Defendant respectively during the General Rounds of the Competition. The Delegations qualified for the Quarterfinal Rounds, Semifinal Rounds, and the Round for the Third Place Award and the Final Round will represent either the Prosecutor or the Defendant.

Eligibility

7. Participating students shall be registered with a higher education institution established in the People's Republic of China as of 1 November 2016 either for a first degree in law or for any postgraduate qualification in law below the level of a doctorate. A person is ineligible to participate in the Competition if, as of 1 November 2016 he or she:
 - i. Registered for a doctoral degree in law, at a participating institution or at any other institution;
 - ii. Holds any doctoral degree in law, regardless of the institution that conferred such doctorate;
 - iii. Holds a full time or part time teaching post in any tertiary institution; or
 - iv. Has been licensed to practice law in any jurisdiction.
8. Participating students need not be nationals of, or normal resident in, the country in which their participating institution is located.

Registration

9. Each participating institution must notify the Organizers via e-mail (to bjjiang@icrc.org, Mr Jiang Bin) by **25 September 2016** of:
 - i. The names of the two students of the delegation;
 - ii. The law degree or programme in which each student is enrolled (e.g. LL.B.); and
 - iii. The name, address, e-mail address and telephone number of a contact person for the participating institution.

Contact Person

10. Each contact person for a participating institution will be sent:
 - i. The individual moot number assigned to its team;
 - ii. Information relating to accommodation and transport in Beijing ; and
 - iii. Any other relevant organizational materials.

The contact person for each participating institution is responsible for distributing the foregoing information and materials to each member of its delegation. Communication between each delegation and the Organizers through any person other than the relevant contact person for that delegation is at the risk of that delegation and its team.

Substitute members

11. A delegation will normally not be permitted to make any substitution of its members after they have been registered under Rule 9.

The Problem

Selection

12. The Organizers shall have the sole power to determine the moot problem to be used in the Competition.

Distribution

13. The moot problem is posted on the ICRC Chinese website: <http://www.icrc.org/zh/document/china-10th-moot-court>.

Facts

14. The facts in the dispute that constitutes the subject matter of the Competition are given in the moot problem. No additional facts may be introduced into the moot problem unless they are a logical and necessary extension of the given facts.

Clarifications

15. Requests for clarification shall not be entertained unless the clarification would have material significance in the context of the moot problem. In particular, teams should bear in mind that the moot problem provides a limited set of facts. Teams should not use a request for clarification merely to obtain additional facts to those contained in the moot problem.
16. Before making any request for clarification, a team must discuss the need to make such a request with the contact person for their delegation. Any request for clarification of the moot problem shall be brought to the attention of the Organizers via e-mail (to bjiang@icrc.org) by **28 September 2016**. A request for clarification must include a brief explanation of the expected material significance of the clarification.
17. The Organizers shall have absolute discretion to determine whether it is necessary to respond to any request for clarification and to resolve such request in the manner as they think fit. If the Organizers deem it necessary to respond to a request for clarification, such clarification shall be distributed to the contact persons of all teams by **30 September 2016** via e-mail. Clarifications issued become part of the moot problem.

The Memorials

Form and Length

18. Each team shall submit memorials for the Prosecutor and the Defendant.
19. Each memorial shall be typed with 1½ line-spacing, using “Times New Roman” font in size 12. Each memorial shall not exceed 4000 words in length, including citations. In the event that any team submits a memorial of a length exceeding 4000 words, the Organizers shall deduct marks from that team’s memorial score out of 100 (calculated pursuant to Rule 54) according to the following scale:
 - i. 1-50 words in excess—deduction of 5 marks;
 - ii. 51-100 words in excess—deduction of 10 marks;
 - iii. 101-200 words in excess—deduction of 20 marks; and
 - iv. Over 200 words in excess—deduction of 30 marks.
20. Citations must be in footnotes (not endnotes) and should be in an intelligible form.

21. Each memorial must have two cover sheets:
- i. The first cover sheet must indicate the name of the participating institution, the names of the two student members of the team, whether the memorial is for the Prosecutor or the Defendant, and the word count of the memorial; and
 - ii. The second cover sheet must have on it only a) the team's individual moot number which was supplied to the contact person of the team's relevant delegation upon registration (see Rule 10); b) whether the memorial is for the Prosecutor or the Defendant.

Submission of Memorials

22. Each team shall submit an electronic copy of its memorials via e-mail (to bjiang@icrc.org) by **11:59 pm, 30 October 2016**, and dispatch 22 hard copies (11 for Prosecutor, 11 for Defendant) of the same memorials via EMS (or a similar express mail service) by **31 October 2016**, to the Organizers at the following address:

<p style="text-align: center;">Mr Jiang Bin 6-2 Qijiyuan Diplomatic Compound No. 9 Jianguomenwai Dajie, Beijing China, 100600 Tel: 010 8532 3290-151</p>	<p>中国北京朝阳区建国门外大街 9 号齐 家园外交公寓 6-2 姜斌 (收) 邮编: 100600 电话: 85323290 转 151</p>
--	---

23. The copies of the memorial which are e-mailed must be in the format of Microsoft Word for Windows (.doc or .docx). No other data format will be accepted without the expressed prior consent of the Organizers. The overall size of the memorials shall not exceed 4 MB.
24. The hard copies of the memorials must be identical to the electronic copies. The 22 hard copies of the memorials must be printed two-sided (i.e. reproduced on both sides of the paper). The hard copies must be securely stapled or bound together so that the stapling or binding will hold throughout the Competition. Memorials should not be held together by rubber bands, lightweight staples, paperclips, pins or other insecure means.
25. Unless otherwise agreed in advance and in writing by the Organizers for special reasons, a team will be sanctioned by deduction of 15 marks from their memorial scores if it does not submit both of its Prosecutor and Defendant memorials by the deadline as specified in Rule 22.

Revision of Memorials

26. A memorial may not be revised for any purpose whatsoever once it has been submitted.

Pairing of Opposing Teams and Pleading Option

General Rounds

27. The General Rounds consist of two Oral Rounds. Each team pleads once as Prosecutor and once as Defendant.
28. The Organizers will determine which Prosecutor and Defendant teams will meet each other in the General Rounds of the Competition by means of a random draw conducted after 1 November 2016.
29. After the draw has been conducted, the Organizers shall forward each team's memorial to the judges who will adjudicate that team's oral hearings in the General Rounds of the Competition.
30. The Organizers will convene a meeting at China University of Political Science and Law, which all teams must attend, at 15:00 pm, on Friday, 18 November 2016, at a venue to be advised. At the meeting, the Organizers will announce the fixtures as determined under Rule 28, and will make available to each team copies of its opponents' memorials.
31. In the event that a team fails to appear for a scheduled oral hearing, the round of the Competition in concern will proceed as an *ex parte* hearing in the following order:
 - i. A court clerk will confirm the presence of both teams.
 - ii. If one team is absent, the court clerk will inform the judges and notify the Organizers.
 - iii. Once the Organizers have been notified, the court clerk will then call the moot number of the absent team two times inside and two times outside the court room with an interval of 30 seconds each.
 - iv. If the team whose number is called fails to appear, the court clerk will announce to the court that there is no appearance by the team called.

The oral hearing will then proceed as an *ex parte* hearing.

The team that is present in the court room will receive scores pursuant to Rules 53 through 57.

Quarterfinal and Semifinal Rounds

32. Subject to Rule 53 through Rule 58, the eight highest-ranking teams from the General Rounds shall participate in the Quarterfinal Rounds. The Quarterfinal Rounds consist of four pairings of the eight highest-ranking teams from the General Rounds.
33. In the Quarterfinal Rounds, the 1st ranking team from the General Rounds shall plead against the 8th ranking team. The 2nd ranking team shall plead against the 7th ranking team; the 3rd shall plead against the 6th, and the 4th shall plead against the 5th.
34. In the Quarterfinal Rounds, the higher-ranking team from the General Rounds shall have the Pleading Option. Pleading Option means the privilege to choose which side (Prosecutor or Defendant) a team would like to plead.

35. The four winning teams from the Quarterfinal Rounds shall participate in the Semifinal Rounds. The Semifinal Rounds consist of two pairings of the four winning teams from the Quarterfinal Rounds.
36. In the Semifinal Rounds, the winning team from the Quarterfinal Pairing of the 1st ranking team v. 8th ranking team shall plead against the winning team from the Quarterfinal Pairing of the 4th ranking team v. 5th ranking team, as specified in Rule 33. The winning team from the Quarterfinal Pairing of the 2nd ranking team v. 7th ranking team shall plead against the winning team from the Quarterfinal Pairing of the 3rd ranking team v. 6th ranking team.
37. Pleading option for the Semifinal Rounds shall be decided by tossing a coin. A designated team member of the higher-ranking team from the General Rounds will call the toss, and a person designated by the Organizers will toss the coin. If the team member correctly calls the toss, then his or her team will have the pleading option. If that team member does not correctly call the toss, then the opposing team will have the pleading option.

Oral Hearings

Dates of oral hearings

38. Unless otherwise notified by the Organizers, the first General Round will be held in the **morning of Saturday, 19 November 2016** and the second General Round will be held in the afternoon of the same day. The Organizers will give due notice to the contact persons for participating institutions of the venue of the General Rounds.
39. Unless otherwise notified by the Organizers, the Quarterfinal and Semifinal Rounds will be held in the **morning of Sunday, 20 November 2016**. The Organizers will give due notice to the contact persons for participating institutions of the venue of the Quarterfinal and Semifinal rounds.
40. Unless otherwise notified by the Organizers, the Round for the Third Place Award and the Final Round will be held in the **afternoon of Sunday, 20 November 2016**. The Organizers will give due notice to the contact persons for participating institutions of the venue of the Round for the Third Place Award and the Final Round.

Rules Applicable to the General Rounds and the Final Rounds

41. Each team shall consist of a first counsel and a second counsel, as designated by the team or by its relevant participating institution.
42. Each team shall, in any circumstance, keep its own name of university confidential. Disclosure may subject the counsel concerned to a deduction of a fixed mark of 10 from the total score out of 100 from the individual's overall score, and in turn affect the team's score out of the total of 200 in each of the oral hearings, pursuant to Rule 56.

43. Each team shall speak for no more than 40 minutes. The first counsel and the second counsel for each team shall each speak individually for a minimum of 15 minutes.
44. Each team may reserve up to 10 minutes for rebuttal (in the case of a Prosecutor team) or surrebuttal (in the case of a Defendant team).
45. The scope of the Prosecutor's rebuttal is limited to responding to the Defendant's oral pleadings, and the scope of the Defendant's surrebuttal is limited to responding to the Prosecutor's rebuttal.
46. Each team shall indicate at the beginning of its oral argument, how long each counsel will speak and how much time it intends to reserve for rebuttal or surrebuttal.
47. Either the first counsel or the second counsel may address the court in rebuttal or surrebuttal. For the avoidance of doubt, the time reserved for rebuttal or surrebuttal is not included in the minimum time for each counsel to speak specified in Rule 43.
48. The court may, in its discretion, extend the time for each counsel for good cause, provided that the maximum extension of time granted to any counsel shall not exceed 5 minutes.
49. Time shall be kept by a court clerk, who will warn counsel by appropriate means when they have:
 - i. 5 minutes left;
 - ii. 1 minute left;
 - iii. to conclude their address forthwith.
50. The order of the oral presentations shall be:
 - i. Prosecutor's first counsel;
 - ii. Prosecutor's second counsel;
 - iii. Defendant's first counsel;
 - iv. Defendant's second counsel;
 - v. Rebuttal, if any (Prosecutor's first or second counsel);
 - vi. Surrebuttal, if any (Defendant's first or second counsel).
51. Every courtesy shall be given to counsel during oral hearings. Communication at the counsel table shall be in writing to prevent disruption; teams and spectators shall avoid all unnecessary noise or other inappropriate behaviour which may disrupt the ongoing hearing.
52. Team members seated at the counsel table shall not be permitted to communicate with spectators, or with any other external person except the judges. Without limiting the foregoing, with respect to teams that have a researcher, counsel shall not be permitted to communicate with the researcher during the oral hearings. The researcher shall not be permitted to sit with counsel at the counsel table.

Scoring for General Rounds

53. Scoring shall consist of two parts: the scoring of memorials and the scoring of the oral presentations.
54. Each memorial shall be assessed by two IHL experts designated by the ICRC. The experts will be supplied with copies of the memorials with only the second cover sheets as specified in Rule 21. The maximum score for each memorial shall be 100. The score for each memorial shall be the average of the scores out of 100 awarded by the two designated judges.
55. The oral presentations shall be assessed by two or three judges on the panel. The judges in each oral hearing shall be a current or former judge, lawyer, or law professor, or otherwise experienced in the practice of IHL. In each General Round, the maximum score for each counsel shall be 100 and the maximum score for each team's oral presentation shall be 200. The score for each counsel shall be the average of the scores out of 100 awarded by the judges assessing their oral presentations.
56. The total score for each team in the general rounds shall be 600, consisting of the following parts:
 - i. 100 for Prosecutor memorial;
 - ii. 100 for Defendant memorial;
 - iii. 100 for first counsel when pleading as Prosecutor;
 - iv. 100 for second counsel when pleading as Prosecutor;
 - v. 100 for first counsel when pleading as Defendant;
 - vi. 100 for second counsel when pleading as Defendant.
57. The decision of the judges shall be final.
58. The Organizers shall announce the scores of each team and each counsel after the completion of the General Rounds, by indicating only the team number and without revealing the identity of any team. Copies of individual judges' scoresheets of oral hearings will be available to the respective teams immediately after the announcement of the results of the General Rounds. Teams may raise questions with the Organizers within 15 minutes if any arithmetic error is identified. The Organizers shall check and rectify any errors. If such rectification affects the teams entering the Quarterfinal Rounds, the rectified results will be announced within 15 minutes after verification of the rectification.

Finalist Teams

59. The eight teams with the highest aggregate score out of 600 from the General Rounds qualify for the Quarterfinal Rounds.
60. In the event that, after the completion of the General Rounds, any two teams tie for the highest score out of 600, the team which will proceed to the Quarterfinal Rounds shall be the team which has the highest average score out of 400 for its oral presentations in the General Rounds. In the further event that both such teams are also tied in their average score out of 400 for oral presentations in the General Rounds, the team which will proceed to the Quarterfinal Rounds shall be

the team whose first counsel has the highest average score out of 200 for his or her oral presentations in the General Rounds.

61. In the Quarterfinal, Semifinal, and Final Rounds, the judges will decide which is the winning team based on their oral presentations without scoring.

Round for the Third Place Award

62. There shall be a Round for the Third Place Award. The two teams in the Semifinal Rounds that do not advance to the Final Round shall compete against each other for the Third Place Award.
63. Unless otherwise notified by the Organizers, the Round for the Third Place Award shall take place in the **afternoon of 20 November 2016**, before the Final Round.
64. The judges will decide which is the winning team in the Round for the Third Place Award without scoring.

Assistance

Memorials

65. All research, writing and editing relating to the memorial must be work of the team of two students submitting that memorial.

Assistance from Staff and Other Advisors

66. Staff of the participating institutions and other coaches, assistants or advisors should restrict their advice to general matters, such as to a discussion of the issues, suggestions as to research sources, and a general commentary on structure, organization and flow of arguments, format, presentation and style.

Awards

67. Three teams will be sponsored to Hong Kong for the 15th Red Cross IHL Moot (2017) for Asia-Pacific Region. These three teams will be the two teams in the Final Round and the winning team from the Round for the Third Place Award.
68. The winning team of the Final Round shall be the Winning Team of the Moot. The other team participating in the Final Round shall be the Runner-up Team.
69. Each top eight team shall be awarded a certificate.
70. The Best Mooter in Final shall be decided by judges of the Final Round and awarded a certificate.
71. The counsel with the highest score out of 200 in the General Rounds shall be adjudged the Best Mooter in General Rounds and awarded a certificate.

72. The counsel with the second highest score out of 200 in the General Rounds shall be adjudged the Runner-up to Best Mooter in General Rounds and awarded a certificate.
73. The Prosecutor's memorial with the highest score out of 100 shall be adjudged the Best Memorial for Prosecutor; the Defendant's memorial with the highest score out of 100 shall be adjudged the Best Memorial for Defendant. The teams that submitted such memorials shall be respectively awarded a certificate.
74. The Prosecutor's memorial with the second highest score out of 100 shall be adjudged the First Honorable Submission for Prosecutor; the Defendant's memorial with the second highest score out of 100 shall be adjudged the First Honorable Submission for Defendant. The teams that submitted such memorials shall be respectively awarded a certificate.
75. The Organizers may in their discretion decide to award alternative prizes in lieu of the prizes described above.

Interpretation of Rules

76. The Organizers shall have absolute discretion to resolve any question concerning the interpretation of these rules.